

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/533,022	03/22/2000	Wilf LeBlanc	17422US02	8407		
23446 75	90 06/23/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	'S HELD & MALLOY,	HAROLD, JEFFEREY F				
500 WEST MA SUITE 3400	DISON STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
CHICAGO, IL 60661			2614			
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		09/533,0)22	LEBLANC ET AL.				
		Examine)r	Art Unit				
		,	F. Harold	2614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	idress			
WHI(- Exte after - if NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statut interest or reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ication. tory period will apply and v I, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNI vent, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO plication to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this country BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a)□)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	Claim(s) <u>7,32,35-37,40,41,43-47,52,69-73,75-77,79-81,144,149-151 and 156</u> is/are rejected.							
7)[
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the B							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	•	-	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
		y tne Examiner. N	iote the attache	d Office Action of form P1	10-152.			
Priority i	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action f	for a list of the cer	tified copies not	received.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449 or PT		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 7,9,11-13,15-32,35-38,40,41,43-58,64,66-73,75-77,79-87,89-113,115-118,120,121,123-128,130-133 and 137-170.

Application/Control Number: 09/533,022

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last.

Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 7, 32, 35-37, 40, 41, 43-47, 52, 69-73, 75-77, 79-81, 144, 149-151 and 156 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,990,195. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are both drawn to the inventive concept of signal processing and echo cancellation.

Citation of Pertinent Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Song (United States Patent 6,694,019), discloses a method and apparatus for infinite return loss handler for network echo canceller.

Brox et al. (United States Patent 4,998,241), disclose an echo canceller.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferey F. Harold whose telephone number is 571-272-7519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing F. Chan can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jefferey F Harold Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614

JFH

June 19, 2006